

Liebe Group Soil Biology Trial

Nadine Hollamby, Project coordinator, The Liebe Group



Aim

To investigate the potential of organic matter inputs to increase soil water storage, increase yield and improve soil health.

Background

This long term trial was established in 2003 to investigate how soil biology and carbon affect crop yield and soil health.

The trial site was selected as it had no significant chemical or physical soil constraints, therefore capacity to increase grain production through improved moisture conservation and enhanced soil biota can be demonstrated.

The trial aims to understand how agronomic factors such as yield and grain quality are effected by organic matter (OM) breakdown and cycling. Although the application of 20 t/ha of organic matter is not practical in a commercial farming enterprise this treatment is designed to demonstrate the potential upper level of organic carbon for sandy soils in our environment. After three separate applications (2003, 2006, 2010) of organic matter, totalling 60 t/ha, we assume the soil is near soil organic carbon capacity.

Trial Details

Property	Long Term Research Site, Buntine
Plot size & replication	10.5m x 80m x 3 replicates
Soil type	Deep yellow sand
Sowing date	1/06/11
Seeding rate	50 kg/ha Wyalkatchem
Fertiliser	1/6/11: 60kg/ha K-Till Extra, 20 L/ha Flexi-N 26/7/11: 50L/ha Flexi-N
Paddock rotation	2010 wheat, 2009 lupins, 2008 wheat
Herbicides	19/3/11: 0.6 L/ha PowerMAX, 0.4 L/ha Ester 680, 100 ml/ha Garlon 31/5/11: 2.5 L/ha BoxerGold, 1.5 L/ha PowerMAX 4/7/11: 1 L/ha Jaguar 26/7/11: 0.5 L/ha Precept
Growing Season Rainfall	293mm

2011 Treatment List

1. Control (minimum till with knife points and full stubble retention).
2. Tilled soil using offset disks.
3. Organic matter (Organic matter is applied once every 3 years, last applied 2010 at rate of 20 t/ha).
4. Organic matter run down (plots where organic matter was previously applied in 2003 & 2006 but not in 2010).
5. Burnt (plots last burnt in March 2011).

Trial history

Year	Crop type	Yield range	Treatment notes
2003	Lupin	None recorded	Set up phase: 20 t/ha barley chaff applied, Lupin crop brown manured
2004	Wheat (cv. Wyalkatchem)	2.9-3.5 t/ha	Brown manuring and addition of 20 t/ha organic matter increased yield by 18-22%
2005	Wheat (cv. Wyalkatchem)	2-2.8 t/ha	Burnt plots yielded 25% higher than control.
2006	Lupins	None recorded	Set up phase: 20 t/ha canola chaff applied, brown manure
2007	Wheat – sprayed out	None recorded	
2008	Wheat (cv. Wyalkatchem)	2.4-3.4 t/ha	Addition of organic matter increased yield by 23% compared to control.
2009	Lupin	1.5 t/ha	Set up phase:
2010	Wheat (cv. Magenta)	2.5-1.9 t/ha	20 t/ha chaff applied. No significant yield difference between treatments.
2011	Wheat (cv. Wyalkatchem)	3.3-4.2 t/ha	Addition of organic matter

Results

Table 1: Yield and quality for wheat comparing different tillage and stubble retention methods West of Buntine.

Treatment	Yield (t/ha)	Protein %	Screenings %
Control	3.3	11.20	1.07
Tilled soil	3.4	10.23	1.15
Burnt	3.8	10.73	1.40
Organic matter run down	4.0	10.80	1.19
Organic matter	4.2	12.00	3.02
LSD	NS	NS	NS

Table 2: Soil analysis for 0-10cm of soil sampled May 2011, before seeding.

Treatment	Amm. (mg/kg)	Nitrate N (mg/kg)	Phos. (mg/kg)	Potassium (mg/kg)	Organic carbon (%)
Control	3	19 ab	30ab	48 a	0.7 abc
Tilled soil	4	23 ab	24a	55 a	0.5 a
Burnt	3	16 a	26a	49 a	0.6 ab
Organic matter run down	5.3	39bc	48.7 bc	99 a	1.0 bc
Organic matter	7	47 c	55.7 c	248 b	1.2 c
LSD	NS	21.6	19.43	79.9	0.47

Note: Results followed by the same letter do not significantly differ from each other. P=0.05.

The treatment's had no statistical effect on yield or grain quality this season (Table 1). Although there is a trend towards higher yields with more organic matter, this is not statistically significantly different to other treatments due to large variation of yields between replicates. Different treatments did show large differences in soil nutrients (Table 2). Organic carbon plots had higher levels of nitrate N, phosphorus and potassium. In the case of potassium, the organic matter plots had 5 times the amount of plant available potassium than the control. Organic matter was significantly lower where plots were tilled or burnt than where organic matter had been added.

Addition of organic matter increased carbon stock in the top 0-10cm of soil but has not changed the soil deeper in the profile (Figure 1). In the top 0-10cm 16 t/ha of carbon was present where organic matter was added and only 10 t/ha of carbon present where plots were burnt or tilled. In the sub soil the amount of carbon is less with 6-8 t/ha in the 10-20cm level and 6-4 t/ha in the 20-30cm level. Treatment did not change subsoil carbon stock. Carbon stock is the amount of carbon in the soil, it takes into account the soils bulk density and is measured in tonnes per hectare. It is therefore a physical amount which is easier to comprehend than the organic carbon percentage of weight which is often reported.




Figure 1: Carbon stock for different stubble management treatments at three soil depths on 2nd May 2011.

Comments

The addition of chaff to the organic matter plots acted as a significant source of potassium and phosphorus. These nutrients were also significantly higher than other plots in 2010, where potassium was 240 mg/kg and phosphorus was 61 mg/kg where organic matter was added.

The increased level of nutrients did not translate into higher yields in the organic matter plots.

Organic matter in soil exists with relatively standard ratios of major nutrients. While the addition of organic matter has increased carbon stocks, this has also resulted in an increased amount of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and sulphur contained within the soil organic matter. These nutrients are used by the soil microbes for their own structures, and while they are recycled on a constant basis the microbial community is much better at utilising them than growing plants.

This trial will be discussed in more detail at the Liebe Groups Trials Review Day, 13th of February, 2012, at the Buntine Hall.

Acknowledgements

GRDC for funding the work through LLE00006, 'Improved stubble & soil management for sustainable farming systems in the Liebe area'.

Richard Bowles, Daniel Murphy and Andrew Wherrett of UWA for assisting in sampling and interpreting the trial.

Paper reviewed by: Andrew Wherret , The University of Western Australia

Contact

Nadine Hollamby, Liebe Group

Nadine@liebegroup.org.au

(08) 9661 0570

Disclaimer: While this information is believed to be correct, no responsibility is accepted for its accuracy. No liability is accepted for any statement, error or omission. Permission by the author is required for articles being reproduced or presented. The Liebe Group does not endorse any product or service included in this report. It is intended for growers to use the information to make more informed adoption decisions about these practices, products or services